### Breakout Group 1: Summary of Discussion

### Outline

- Results of a Quick Vote
- One "Special" Topic
- Task Allocations
- Research Directions & Suggestions

### A Quick (Anonymous) Vote

- Purpose: To obtain a general sense of opinions represented by this group
- Only 8 Present for Vote
- Asked for personal, informed opinion at present time
- General Categories Presented
  - Need 2 Traditional Pilots
  - Strive for 1 Pilot
  - Strive for 1 Pilot on Ground and 1 Pilot in Air
  - Strive to Move Directly from 2 Pilots to None

### Results of the Vote

- Need 2 Traditional Pilots [1]
- Strive for 1 Pilot [1]
- Strive for 1 Pilot on Ground and 1 Pilot in Air [5]
- Strive to Move Directly from 2 Pilots to None [1]

### Initial Approach

- Presented participants with 2 questions to consider as we reviewed the sheet summarizing typical flight deck crew responsibilities:
  - 1. How would functions/tasks be affected by removing a crew member?
  - 2. How might a new allocation strategy be used to counter the effects identified in #1.

#### BUT...

They wouldn't let us ignore the elephant in the room...

PILOT INCAPACITATION

### Pilot Incapacitation

- Most participants felt this issue is extremely important.
  - It affects *every* item on the list of responsibilities.
  - Statistics comparing single-pilot vs. twopilot crew were striking

• Several remarks were noted that suggest we may be overemphasizing this issue.

### Pilot Incapacitation

- Two Major Themes in Discussion
  - 1. What should incapacitation be *conceived or defined*?
  - 2. How should incapacitation be *monitored* and determined?

### Pilot Incapacitation: Defined

- Physical and Mental Health
  - Specific examples presented:
    - Death
    - Unconsciousness
    - Sleeping
    - Drug Use
      - Prescription meds should NOT be ignored
    - Mental instability
- Incapacitation may be progressive
  - That is, incapacitation does not necessarily have sudden (all-or-none) impact

# Pilot Incapacitation: Monitoring and Determination of State

### Monitoring

- Without a second pilot, mental health may be extremely difficult to monitor with any level of assurance.
- Physical health may be relatively easier to monitor in the absence of a human.
- Should be approached with recognition that incapacitation may have early symptoms (progressive incapacitation)

# Pilot Incapacitation: Monitoring and Determination of State

#### Determination of State

- Most seemed to believe that a human should be involved with this portion of the process.
  - The human who makes the decision does not necessarily have to be a second pilot in the cockpit (e.g., pilot on ground).
- Extreme caution should be taken if either of these concepts are pursued:
  - Automation (technology) is used to decide if a pilot is incapacitated.
    - As noted during one of the presentations, there would be no tolerance for error in either direction (false alarm or miss)
  - "Locking out" the onboard pilot from control of the aircraft.
    - Perhaps automation should "kick in" when a decision needs to be made immediately.

## Pilot Incapacitation: For Consideration

- Be proactive in requiring more sophisticated medicals.
- Consider model used for DUIs
  - Notification system if the pilot in front of you is behaving oddly (suspect incapacitation)
- Air carrier side almost always has pilot in the back.
  - Take advantage of this situation.

### The Question of Task Allocations

- We attempted to do what was asked of us during the morning session:
  - Brainstorm regarding different allocation strategies etc
- We found it difficult to work with the specific responsibilities of the current flight deck crew (handout for participants)
- Rather, more general notions were shared and were believed to better reflect the problem at hand.

### Tasks that Should Be Reserved for the Remaining Single Pilot

- Visuals
  - E.g., see and avoid, visual separation, looking at on-board weather radar
- Higher-order decision making
  - Multiple failures
  - Novel problems
  - Collision avoidance
  - Strategic planning, in general
- Tasks that require "experiencing" a state (e.g., turbulence)

### Additional Thoughts Regarding Task Allocations

- Impact on "Aviate" category is minimal in move to SPO
  - "Navigate" & "Communicate" categories represent the co-pilot and best reflect the change.
- FOCs/AOCs may be able to pick up a big chunk of the flight planning
  - May even include weather
- Pilot is legally responsible for flight.
  - Must consider changing legal responsibilities.
    - Is automation another collaborator in the system?
      - If so, who is responsible?
- Pilot responsibilities might be de-centralized in SPO.
  - There are some advantages to decisions based on centralized (local) information
- NextGen giving pilots more responsibility (freedom), but SPO would remove a pilot from that system

 Generally, we were surprised at the amount of literature review that was suggested when all was said and done.

 Other than this general observation, the following slides represent many of the research areas that were discussed, in no particular order.

- Define what is meant by "risk" in SPO, where risk is conceptualized as risk imposed by realtime choices made.
- Systematically identify what the co-pilot monitors today, and only thereafter, identify how that can/should be allocated?
- How can the state of all "parties" be transparent?
  - What is the state of the automation? State of the SP? How are these states transparent to those on the ground?

- What visual (body language) cues are being used between pilots?
  - Try the experiment suggested during the presentations (partition between pilots)
- Explore the effects of fatigue/boredom on the SP & whether it creates automation overreliance
  - Lack of social pressure to "stay on the ball?"
- Consider "automation" using several taxonomies
  - Traditional "levels" of automation
  - Think about how tasks can be **shared/blended or distributed**.
  - Consider adaptive and adaptable automation.
    - Any automation this is consistently performed by the software may be relatively less worrisome compared to dynamic allocations.

- How do we chose particular tasks to automate and why?
  - When we think in terms of tasks do we miss "chunks" in tasks?
    - Are some tasks necessarily "tied" and it would be ineffective to distribute them?
    - Tasks, as defined, should be meaningful
  - By re-allocating tasks, do we change the nature of job in ways that are unforeseen (tasks might disappear or might be created)?
- Identify tasks at which humans excel vs. at which technology excels
- Why not think about automation as a means to enable needed capabilities in SPO?
- Develop Concept of Operations
  - Lay out numerous alternatives (paths) and receive feedback
  - May allow you to save tine/effort before too many resources are spent going down the "wrong" path

- **Poll aviation community** to determine which Single Pilot scenario for Part 121 operations is viable.
- Explore the military domain and leverage off of their experience in singlepilot/dual-pilot vehicle operations
- Assess political/passenger acceptance issues of Single-Pilot 121 ops.

- Consider multiple measures of performance (do not limit to incident/accident)
- Consider the means by which the pilot will communicate his/her intentions

- Literature Review/Background Research (Non-experimental)
  - Pilot monitoring (see DARPA research)
  - Review Jay Shively's chapter regarding how tasks can be organized
  - Kathy Abbott's upcoming report
  - 1981 ASRS study (single pilot IFR)
  - Safety analysis
    - How/when **has** the second pilot mitigating the problem?
  - Review NextGen Concept of Operations
  - Review accidents/incidents that are a result of design assumptions
    - Assist us in guarding against the overuse of engineers in making assumptions about real-time situations
  - Review insurance issues (see member of Ames community)
  - Explore FARs related to oxygen requirements for current single pilot operations (e.g., 1 pilot exits cockpit) as it applies to SPO
  - Review work from task force from when we moved from 3 to 2 pilots

Spend time scoping the problem!!

 There is MUCH to explore for the SPO concept.